"only research reveals all the facts...the whole truth"

Fact For Fact

JANUARY
NON PROFIT MEMBERSHIP

Published in the interest of making available to the public, currently and at low cost, complete and correct information, on subjects with national and international implications, assembled and analyzed, without bias or prejudice, by specialists in advanced research analysis. Subscriptions available to the public, for a voluntary contribution for that purpose, to FOUNDATION FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH ANALYSIS, 505 Fifth Avenue, New York 17, N. Y., U. S. A. (see back page)

MCMLVII
DELAWARE CORPORATION

105-57266-1
N 1

January 1957

WHOSE BLOOD WILL FLOW NEXT IN PALESTINE NOW ANSWERED!

President Eisenhower seeks consent of Congress for United States Armed Forces to fight Middle East war to protect booty of Zionist's 1948 armed insurrection

MAKES IMPENDING EAST-WEST WORLD-WAR MORE IMMINENT!

The "voice" of Jacob but the "hand" of Esau

by BENJAMIN H. FREEDMAN
FOUNDER 1946, LEAGUE FOR PEACE WITH JUSTICE IN PALESTINE

85TH CONGRESS 1st Session **H. J. RES. 117**

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 5, 1957

Mr. Gordon introduced the following joint resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs

JOINT RESOLUTION

To authorize the President to undertake economic and military cooperation with nations in the general area of the Middle East in order to assist in the strengthening and defense of

...any such nation or group of nations requesting assistance. Furthermore, he is authorized to employ the Armed Forces of the United States as he deems necessary to secure and protect the territorial integrity and political independence of any such nation or group of nations requesting ... such aid against overt armed aggression from any nation controlled by international communism: *Provided*, That such employment shall be consonant with the treaty obligations ... and with the Charter of the United Nations and any action or determination taken thereunder. The President shall report to the Congress from time to time.

SEC. 5. This joint resolution shall expire when the President shall determine that the peace and security of the nations in the general area of the Middle East are reasonably assured by international conditions created by action of the United Nations or otherwise.

THE EMPHASIS HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE FACSIMILE SECTIONS OF THE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BY PRESIDENT EISENHOWER ON JANUARY 5, 1957. A SIMILAR RESOLUTION WAS ALSO INTRODUCED IN THE SENATE BY PRESIDENT EISENHOWER AT THE SAME TIME. THIS JOINT RESOLUTION IS IDENTIFIED AS THE MIDDLE EASTERN SECURITY RESOLUTION OF PRESIDENT EISENHOWER.

The United States Armed Forces will soon again be fighting and dying, this time on the battle-fields throughout the Middle East area, in the not too distant future, in another unnecessary and useless war, if President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution, as it is now called, is approved by both the houses of Congress, and signed by President Eisenhower. It thus becomes the law of the land, in the form in which President Eisenhower submitted it to the Congress, on January 5, 1950, as his Joint Resolution to Congress, H. J. Res. 117.

On the basis of the facts which follow, those best qualified and most competent to judge the inevitable consequences of President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution, are of the unanimous opinion, that in its present form President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution will lead to war in the Middle East area, in the very near future, between the United States and the Arab Middle East countries. The consequences must prove very disastrous.

It is also the considered judgment and the unanimous opinion of those best qualified and most competent to judge, in the Administration in Washington, in the Congress, in the Pentagon, and among United Nations delegations, that a war of that character in the Middle East will inevitably precipitate the impending all-out and full-scale East-West World War. That evil consequence must be averted.

In the impending all-out and full-scale East-West World War, the United States is destined to become the principal target of a potential enemy abundantly supplied with hydrogen bombs, with the means to deliver them in quantities on targets anywhere in the United States at will, from the world's largest fleet of intercontinental strategic jet-bombers, and from the world's only fleet of intercontinental atomic-powered submarines constructed to discharge guided missiles at very distant targets without the necessity of rising to the surface to do so.

In the event of an attack of that character upon the United States, in the forseeable future, the defense and survival of the United States as a free, independent and sovereign state, will to a greater extent than now realized, depend upon the relationship existing at that time between the United States and the Arab Middle East countries, and the other countries forming the Asian-African group of nations, approximately 80% of the world's total population, about four out of every five human beings on the face of the earth, an overwhelming majority.

The tremendous manpower, the enormous natural resources and the strategic areas of the world inhabited by the Asian and African 80% of the world's total population, will prove the determining factor in deciding the victor in an all-out and full-scale East-West World War. The manpower, the natural resources, and the strategic areas of Asia and Africa inhabited by the Arab Middle East countries will play a very important part in providing this nation with security, and ultimate victory. The United States has only 11 of its 77 required raw materials.

The sinister intentions, inferences, implications and innuendos concealed within the terms of President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution, as it now reads, must be called to the attention of every public-spirited person in the nation, while there is still time to do something about it. They must not be overlooked or disregarded in the context of recent history in Palestine, and the Middle East area, and the announced aims of the international Zionist leadership, so often openly expressed, concerning Palestine, and the Middle East area.

The astute employment of certain terms in President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution demand careful analysis and consideration. The nation cannot afford to miscalculate the consequences of implementing the term "as he deems necessary", virtually the authority of a dictator. The inference of the term "overt armed aggression" cannot be easily concealed. The innuendo of the term "from any nation" is quite apparent. The implication of the term "controlled" suggests many sinister motives. The subtle intentions of the term "international communism" appears to be a trap. The indefinite term "shall expire . . . when the President shall determine . . . that the peace and security . . . are reasonably assured" is also very dangerous in many ways.

Published in the interest of making available to the public, currently and at low cost, complete and correct information, on subjects with national and international implications, assembled and analyzed, without bias or prejudice, by specialists in advanced research analysis. Subscription available to the public, for a voluntary contribution for that purpose to,

FOUNDATION FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH ANALYSIS
505 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK 17, N. Y., U. S. A.

105-57266-1

Fact For Fact

The words selected are simple words. The language employed is simple language. But they say one thing and mean something else. The terms referred to above have never been explained to the public by persons or sources free from personal interest in the aims of the international Zionist leadership in Palestine, and the Middle East area, or not associated with the activities in this country on behalf of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. They call for explanation by persons without multiple loyalties.

The monopolistic control of all media of mass-communications in the United States for shaping public opinion, by the Zionist leadership in the United States, makes it quite simple for Zionist leadership in the United States to brainwash the public on all relevant subjects, in a manner to best serve Zionist interests in Palestine, and the Middle East area. The monopolistic control of all media of mass-communications in the United States, by the Zionist leadership in the United States, leading newspapers and magazines, radio net-works, television net-works, the motion picture industry and the entertainment field, through outright ownership or by financial domination, or by control of their incomes from advertising revenues, makes it easy for the international Zionist leadership to brainwash the public in any manner they deem most suitable to serve their purpose, without fear of any effective contradiction in the nation's media of mass-communications for shaping public opinion. The opposition to Zionists finds few if any ways to be heard.

The international Zionist leadership is thus able to foist upon the gullible and naive public, acceptance of what is without question a Mutual Defense Treaty between the United States and the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, as a Middle Eastern Security Resolution of the United States Congress. But it is verily the law of the land when approved by both houses of Congress. It becomes the law of this land when it is approved by both houses of accepts President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution without any hesitation, or any reservations, for what its name implies. The public are entitled to be correctly and completely informed on the subject. They have not been told the dangerous consequences if it is finally approved as now worded.

President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution is an attempt to slip in through the "back door" what public opinion so vigorously opposed last year when the attempt was made to slip the same thing in through the "front door." Strong opposition by the public last year prevented the United States from concluding a similar Mutual Defense Treaty with the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. By whatever other name the international Zionist leadership seek to baptize a Mutual Defense Treaty, President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution, the name the international Zionist leadership already baptize it, is in effect and in fact nothing but a Mutual Defense Treaty between the United States and the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, measured by the criteria which follows here. Nothing whatsoever which can be said to the contrary can possibly alter that fact.

The odds are 1,000,000,000-to-1 that no Arab Middle East country will ever request the United States armed forces to defend an Arab Middle East country against aggression from any source. By the wildest stretch of the imagination, it is inconceivable to-think of circumstances under which an Arab Middle East country will request United States armed forces to assist in its defense against any aggression. A person must be extremely naive to imagine that such circumstances are either probable or possible. It will not be out of place to mention here just a few of the valid reasons why this is so. Space will not permit mention here of all such reasons. Space here will neither permit adequate comment upon these many reasons, to certify to their validity, to the fullest extent the abundant amount of available information makes possible.

Firstly, no basis can possibly exist in the forseeable future even to remotely expect an Arab Middle East country to request United States armed forces to assist in the defense of an Arab Middle East country against another Arab Middle East country, or against all other Arab Middle East countries. United Nations Charter, in Chapter VIII, the chapter on Regional Arrangements, in Article 52, Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4, provides for the maintenance of peace and security by the Arab Middle East countries under the League of Arab States Treaty. The League of Arab States Treaty provides effective and adequate measures for the defense of an Arab Middle East country against aggression by another Arab Middle East country, or aggression by any other country. The United Nations Charter also provides many additional methods to preserve international peace.

A copy of the League of Arab States Treaty was duly filed with United Nations as required. The League of Arab States Treaty was the first Regional Arrangements Treaty filed with United Nations after its formation in 1945. The League of Arab States Treaty provides that an attack upon any member shall be regarded by all members as an attack upon all members of the League of Arab States. The League of Arab States Treaty served as the inspiration and the model for the Regional Arrangements Treaty of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, commonly referred to now at NATO. The League of Arab States includes Palestine as a full member, and has always so regarded Palestine since the formation of the League of Arab States in 1944. The autonomous state of Palestine was at that time under the temporary administrative direction of Great Britain.

It is more probable, and more possible, that when, as or if an Arab Middle East country adopts so-called "international communism" as the foundation for its revised political, economic and social systems, these new and novel political, economic and social innovations will naturally and normally travel across boundary lines into other Arab Middle East countries, without their resort to the use of "overt armed aggression" for that purpose. The rapid spread of so-called "international communism" in recent years to the numerous European and Asian countries was not achieved by "overt armed aggression." In the past 38 years, so-called "international communism" has imposed its ideologies upon the political, economic and social systems of the populations inhabiting almost 50% of the total land area of the world, without the necessity of resorting to "overt armed aggression" for that purpose. Infiltration, and subversion inside the countries themselves, achieved that result for the advocates of so-called "international communism." For all that, not one soldier was sent across a border to fire a shot.

Secondly, only one future possible need can arise where any Arab Middle East country will require United States armed forces for defense against "overt armed aggression." That future possible need will arise in the event United States armed forces are needed again to resist "overt armed aggression" waged against an Arab Middle East country by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The recent "overt armed aggression" against Egypt by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine illustrates this possible future requirement for United States armed forces by an Arab Middle East country. That necessity may arise again as suddenly and unexpectedly as the recent attack on Egypt on October 29, 1956. Another sudden attack will not surprise Middle East countries.

In the event of "overt armed aggression" by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, all Arab Middle East countries feel quite certain that the United States will resort to every possible subterfuge, and resort to all the excuses the United States can conceivably cook-up, to escape their obligation to employ United States armed forces then, against armed forces of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. All Arab Middle East countries sincerely believe that the United States will never employ United States armed forces against the Zionists so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine under any circumstances, come hell or high-water. The United States will then evade its obligations with the "weasel words" that such an attack by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine against an Arab Middle East country is not "overt armed aggression" against an Arab Middle East country, "from any nation" at that time "controlled" by so-called "international communism." An alibi will not be too hard to cook-up.

It is an undisputed fact, recognized throughout the world, that today the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine is "controlled" by the United States, and that it has been "controlled" by the United States for several years. The United States will be in a position to escape its obligations. The United States can use as its alibi the fact that the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine is not "controlled" by "international communism." That contention by the United States will duly qualify the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine to request and receive United States armed forces for defense against "overt armed aggression." That seems to be their plan under the terms, "from any nation" allegedly "controlled" by so-called "international communism," that are so prejudiced in favor of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine.

The international Zionist leadership in the United States has poured billions of tax-deductible U. S. dollars or their equivalent, into the economy of their Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in recent years, but not to make investments. These many billions of tax-deductible U. S. dollars were intended to prevent the collapse of the economy of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The collapse of the private enterprise system, "controlled" by the uninterrupted flow of billions of tax-deductible U. S. dollars into their bottomless economic "pit," without any requirement for repayment, will mean the end of the private enterprise system in the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, and the substitution in its place of the Communist economy found in Communist countries.

The Zionist leadership in the United States fears the repercussion upon their co-religionists in the United States if their Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine becomes another population to pass into the almost 50% of the world's total population already existing under the communist system. The Zionist leadership in the United States will continue to pour additional billions of tax-deductible U. S. dollars into the economy of their Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine to prevent communism replacing capitalism as the political, economic and social systems there. The Zionists fear too many persons in the United States will then say, "Well, now you see, I told you so, didn't I?". And they surely will!

The Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine was planned by some of the world's leading Communists, developed by some of the world's leading Communists and administered by some of the world's leading Communists. Many of the initial customs and institutions of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine are more communist than comparable customs and institutions in the Soviet Union. There is more "international communism" in the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine today than in all the Arab Middle East countries put together. There is a legal Communist Party in the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, with elected members sitting in their legislature. In all Arab Middle East countries Communist parties do not exist because they have always been illegal. Communism never "took root" in the Moslem countries.

The international Zionist leadership in the United States and Europe, who poured billions of tax-deductible U. S. dollars into their so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, did not do so for religious reasons. They were primarily interested in controlling the greatest concentration of wealth in natural resources to be found in all the world, and also in the vital importance of this strategic area to the diminishing international significance of Great Britain and France in Asia and Africa. They preached the Ten Commandments but did not practice "thou shalt not murder", "thou shalt not steal", "thou shalt not covet", and "thou shalt not bear false witness." The claims of international Zionist leadership are false, fictitious and fraudulent. Research fails to substantiate any of their claims.

The claim of the international Zionist leadership to Palestine as their "homeland" is fraudulent. They never had an ancestor who set a foot in Palestine in all history. Their claim to Palestine as the "Promised Land" of God's "Chosen People" is totally fictitious. Their ancestors were converted in the 7th century A.D. to monotheism from pagan phallic worship and idolatry. Their claim as the "remnants of the Lost Ten Tribes" is totally untrue. Their ancestors were the Khazars who came into Europe from deep in the heart of Asia in the 1st century. Their claim to Palestine based on the "Balfour Declaration" is a fraud of the most dishonest nature. The "Ballour Declaration" was a letter drafted here in Washington by Justice Brandeis and Justice Frankfurter, the two most fanatic Zionists in the history of Zionism, and leaders in international Zionist policy.

Lord Balfour mailed a copy of the letter to Lord Rothschild. In his letter, Lord Balfour did not promise Palestine to the Zionists. Palestine never was Great Britain's. Great Britain could not give it to the Zionists, and did not. Zionist claims that a League of Nations "Mandate" gave Palestine to them is a fiction. The League of Nations recognized Palestine as the autonomous state of the Palestinian Arabs. In the year 1920, the League of Nations actually guaranteed Palestinian Arabs self-determination under free elections in a few years. But, the British remained over 27 years to transplant 600,000 Zionists into Palestine.

The international Zionist leadership gave considerable nation-wide publicity to the untruth that a United Nations "resolution" on November 29, 1947, "created" their so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. Nothing could be more untrue than that distortion of fact. The United Nations Charter, in Chapter IV states all the "Powers and Functions" of "The General Assembly," in Article 10 and in Article 11[1] and 11[2]. United Nations can only make "recommendations" to members. To make a "recommendation," United Nations formally passes a "resolution." The substance is a "recommendation" but the form is a "resolution." United Nations has no more authority to "partition" Palestine than to "partition" any country.

After the international Zionist leadership poured a minimum of 10 billion dollars into their Zionist so-called "sovereign state," in far less than 10 years time, in tax-deductible U. S. dollars or their equivalent, without any requirement for repayment by the local transplanted population, the population became less communist and more capitalist in their outlook upon life in general, and about their own lives in particular. This prosperity converted a population of worshippers of Karl Marx into a population of worshippers of Lord Rothschild and Bernard M. Baruch and their likes, among their co-religionists. The 10 billion tax-deductible U. S. dollars soon completely alienated their affection for the Soviet

Union. They were happy to be "controlled" by the United States as long as a minimum of a billion was received each year, without any requirement for repayment, with no end in sight of tax-deductible U. S. dollars or their equivalent.

The Soviet Union's leaders were greatly disillusioned, disappointed and discouraged to observe in recent years the slow but sure transformation of almost two-million communist-indoctrinated Zionists into full-fledged capitalists. The communist-indoctrinated Zionists in Palestine were transplanted into Palestine between the years 1921 and 1957 from the Soviet Union, and other eastern European countries, controlled or dominated to a large extent by the Soviet Union. The exceptions form only a very small percentage of all the Zionists now there.

Commencing in the year 1917, the Soviet Union's leaders left no stone unturned anywhere in the world to make possible the present Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. Between the years 1917 and 1948, the Soviet Union itself, and the Soviet Union's leaders, made available to the international Zionist leadership much of the man-power, the money, the military material and the moral support in other countries, and in United Nations, without which there positively would be no Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine today.

At all times since 1917, the Soviet Union and Soviet Union leaders, among whom Zionists and their co-religionists formed the great majority, planned a future Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine that one day would become firstly, a satellite of the Soviet Union, secondly, become a bridge-head for Soviet Union penetration into the Mediterranean warm-water area, and thirdly, become a foot-in-the-door for Soviet Union participation in the greatest petroleum reserves in all the world, and in the mineral and chemical deposits in the Dead Sea with an estimated 5,000 billion U. S. dollar value, determined by British scientists.

In or about the year 1939, the Soviet Union verified reports that Great Britain had shortly before that time concluded a secret agreement with the international Zionist leadership in London. The arrangement obligated Great Britain and the international Zionist leadership in all countries of the world to work together secretly to bring into existence a Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, which Great Britain would admit as the 7th nation in the British Commonwealth of Nations as soon as any resulting international suspicions were dispelled to make that possible, and without further international repercussions.

Between the years 1939 and 1948, the Soviet Union employed every possible inducement to win international Zionist leadership away from Great Britain, but in vain. Great Britain planned to exclude the Soviet Union from the Middle East permanently by the strategy of admitting a "capitalist" Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine as the 7th nation in the British Commonwealth of Nations. The Middle East area contained the most important and the most valuable concentration of natural resources in the world, and straddled the economic trade-routes to the Orient, and to Great Britain's two eastern-hemisphere nations in the British Commonwealth of Nations, Australia and New Zealand, both badly needed.

The vim, vigor and vitality of the Middle East countries so recently emancipated from five centuries of colonialism, created an unexpected third-dimension in the Middle East problems of Great Britain and the Soviet Union. The death of colonialism in the Middle East area gave birth to a third-force which neither Great Britain nor the Soviet Union anticipated. Arab nationalism in the Middle East is now the hard-core of awakened Asian and African populations. The Asian and African population is 80% of the world's total population, four out of every five human beings on the face of the earth. They regard the interests of Great Britain and the Soviet Union in the Middle East secondary to the interests there of the Arab Middle East countries. They pledged help to preserve that status.

The transformation of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine into a capitalist economy "controlled" completely by the United States, required the Soviet Union to make several modifications in its program for the Middle East. The collapse of the conspiracy against Egyptian territory and sovereignty greatly strengthened the Soviet Union's position in the Middle East area. The tripartite conspiracy against Egypt was jointly planned to stabilize the existence of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. It was the preliminary to admitting it shortly as the 7th nation into the British Commonwealth of Nations.

The Soviet Union was not unaware of the purpose of the simultaneous attacks against the territory and the sovereignty of Egypt by Great Britain and France, in collusion with the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The Soviet Union forthwith capitalized upon the miscalculations of the conspirators. Great Britain and France vetoed efforts by United Nations to terminate the hostilities in Egypt by the invading Zionist army. Great Britain and France, on the contrary, invaded Egypt on a large scale themselves, in the Suez Canal zone, after bombing civilians deep in Egyptian territory, violating human decency in all forms.

The Soviet Union promptly delivered an ultimatum to Great Britain and France. Soviet Russia warned that hydrogen guided-missiles would drop on London and Paris if they did not immediately agree to cease military operations against Egypt. The allegedly atheist Soviet Union supplied the nominally Christian Great Britain and France with a demonstration of the Golden Rule of Jesus Christ, "That which ye would have others do unto you, do you so the same unto them." Great Britain and France were galvanized into action fearing that the Soviet Union would wipe out London and Paris exactly as they recently wiped out Port Said. Great Britain and France surrendered immediately to the Soviet Union's ultimatum, and not to "world opinion", as Great Britain and France would have the world think.

Thirdly, the monopolistic control by the Zionist leadership in this country of the media of mass-communications for shaping public opinion in the United States, has made it a relatively easy matter for them to brainwash the public that Arab Middle East countries are "controlled" by the Soviet Union. This Zionist irresponsible propaganda and untrue publicity aims to brainwash the public that because a country "controlled" by the Soviet Union necessarily practices "international communism," that every country that practices "international communism" is therefore "controlled" by the Soviet Union, which does not necessarily follow.

The international Zionist leadership want the public to believe Arab Middle East countries are "controlled" by so-called "international communism" for one reason. It is of vital importance to the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine to eliminate any possibility that Arab Middle East countries obtain United States armed forces to aid in their defenses if need for it again should arise, against "overt armed aggression" by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The Zionist so-called "sovereign state" achieved an important strategic victory by smearing Arab Middle East countries as "controlled" by "international communism." Zionists now need never fight against United States armed forces.

If Arab Middle East countries are smeared as "controlled" by so-called "international communism," the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine can demand United States armed forces for defense "against overt armed aggression" by Arab Middle East countries. Zionists claim that they are not "controlled" by so-called "international communism." The Arab Middle East countries cannot request United States armed forces for defense against "overt armed aggression" by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine if it again becomes necessary. The Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine is "controlled" by the United States. The Arab Middle East countries therefore cannot claim they need United States armed forces to defend them against "overt armed aggression", allegedly it is not, "from any nation controlled by international communism."

The smear campaign that Arab Middle East countries are "controlled" by so-called "international communism" is based exclusively upon the fact that they are purchasing arms and ammunition from the Soviet Union and other countries "controlled" by the Soviet Union. Until Arab Middle East countries commenced to purchase arms and ammunition from the Soviet Union, and other Communist countries, they were never suspected of being "controlled" by so-called "international communism." If Arab Middle East countries now stop purchasing arms and ammunition from the Soviet Union, and other Communist countries, the "international communism" smear-barrage will stop just as suddenly as it had started.

The international Zionist leadership must keep Arab Middle East countries defenseless. The international Zionist leadership realizes that if the Arab Middle East countries have the per capita military strength of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, they would have something to fear today. The delivery of arms and ammunition to Arab Middle East countries by the Soviet Union and other Communist countries will soon raise the per capita military strength of Arab Middle East countries to the level of the per capita military strength of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. It has therefore become necessary for the international Zionist leadership to exert every pressure to prevent that.

The international Zionist leadership prepared against that threat to the existence of their so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine by planning a Mutual Defense Treaty with the United States against Arab Middle East countries. In effect and in fact, President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution is the Mutual Defense Treaty planned by the international Zionist leadership. That is clearly demonstrated by an analysis of the intent rather than the content of the draft resolution now before Congress, verily the "voice" of Jacob but the "hand" of Esau, judging by all criteria for evaluating this dangerous deception.

The Scriptures relate the story of Jacob and Esau. That unholy deception was explained by exposing it was "the voice was that of Jacob, but the hand was that of Esau." It was the "voice" of President Eisenhower which spoke over the radio and on television on January 5, 1957 but it was the "hand" of the international Zionist leadership in the United States which wrote the draft of the resolution submitted to the Congress in the name of President Eisenhower. President Eisenhower's voice was the "voice" of Jacob, but the draft of the Middle Eastern Security Resolution was written by none other than the "hand" of Esau.

President Eisenhower seeks greater power than was ever possessed by any dictator in the history of the world, for the express purpose of defending the booty of the Zionists' 1948 armed insurrection in Palestine. In his draft of his Middle Eastern Security Resolution, President Eisenhower asks that the resolution authorize and empower him to employ the greatest armed force in the history of the world "as he deems necessary." But that is not what President Eisenhower said to the entire nation over the radio and on television on January 5, 1957.

On January 5, 1957 President Eisenhower said to the nation, "If, contrary to my hope and expectation, a situation arose which called for the military application of the policy which I ask the Congress to join me in proclaiming, I would, of course, maintain hour-by-hour contact with the Congress if it were in session. And if the Congress were not in session, and if the situation had grave implications, I would at once call the Congress into special session." That is something altogether different than asking the Congress for consent to employ United States armed forces in the Middle Eastern area "as he deems necessary." Those four words give President Eisenhower the power of the greatest dictator in all history.

If President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution is approved by both houses of the Congress, and signed by President Eisenhower, it authorizes President Eisenhower to employ the entire United States armed forces on land, on the sea and in the air "as he deems necessary" in the Middle East, without seeking permission or consent of the Congress. No dictator in the history of the world ever controlled as much military power. Why did President Eisenhower omit any reference to "as he deems necessary" from his January 5, 1957 address, but include "as he deems necessary" in the draft of the Middle Eastern Resolution introduced in Congress on January 5, 1957, the very same day, at the very same moment. Could it again be the "voice" of Jacob, but the "hand" of Esau?

Because President Eisenhower is authorized and empowered to employ the entire United States armed forces "as he deems necessary," it follows that President Eisenhower will be the only one to decide many things. President Eisenhower will have sole responsibility for deciding when any nation is "controlled" by "international communism." President Eisenhower will have sole responsibility for deciding what constitutes "controlled" under the resolution and under international law. President Eisenhower will have the sole responsibility for deciding what "international communism" is, with a small "c." President Eisenhower will have sole responsibility for deciding whether "from any nation" means just the same as "by any nation." Will he ask Zionist leaders as before?

Who will advise President Eisenhower whether a country is "controlled" by anybody or anything outside its boundaries? Is not every country in some manner "controlled" by ideologies which are adopted, and which are not the evolution of the indigenous population? The world knows that certain European and Asian countries are actually "controlled" by the Soviet Union, in a manner and to an extent difficult to determine, but nevertheless "controlled" in a certain sense of that word. But does purchasing arms and ammunitions specifically mean that Middle East countries are "controlled" by Communist countries because they purchase arms and ammunition from these countries? Will that be the only criterion?

Who will advise President Eisenhower what "international communism" is? It is well known that ideological "communism," with a small "c," is not the same as "Communism" with a capital "C." There are many countries in which "communism" is practiced which are not "Communist" countries. The New York Sunday Times reprinted President Eisenhower's draft of the Middle East Security Resolution, in which they spell "Communism" with a capital "C," whereas the official printed copy printed by the government spells "communism" with a small "c." The New York Times never printed a correction. Why should President Eisenhower have the authority to employ United States armed forces in the Middle East "as he deems necessary" based solely upon President Eisenhower's understanding of the term "international communism"? There should be a better method than that, or it should be the responsibility of the Congress to answer the questions upon which in this crisis the fate of the nation may soon depend.

What is meant in President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution by "from any nation"? Did the "voice" of Jacob say "by any nation" and the "hand" of Esau write "from any nation"? They have meanings as different as

black differs from white. If President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution was intended to apply against the official acts of sovereign states, it should properly use the term "by any nation," and not "from any nation." The use of the term "from any nation" is a booby-trap. President Eisenhower and both houses of the Congress will become casualties of this booby-trap if they approve the Middle Eastern Security Resolution in the form it was introduced.

An official act of a sovereign state is described as an act "by any nation," and not "from any nation." President Eisenhower stated the United States foreign policy in Palestine, and the Middle East area, over the radio and on television, on January 5, 1957. This "agonizing reappraisal" of United States foreign policy in Palestine, and the middle east area, aligned opposition to "overt armed aggression" there firstly by the Soviet Union, secondly by countries directly "controlled" by the Soviet Union which also have Communist governments, and thirdly by countries allegedly "controlled" by the Soviet Union but which do not have Communist governments as measured by the known standards now in use here.

The substitution of "from any nation," instead of "by any nation," was not the accidental choice of the wrong word. The substitution was planned that way. The purpose is to apparently say one thing, but to actually mean something else. The substitution means that United States armed forces must fight in Palestine, and the Middle East area. The Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine will demand United States armed forces to fight expelled Palestinian Arabs seeking to recover their homes. The use of arms by the expelled Palestinian Arab exiles will be regarded as "overt armed aggression," in this case "from any nation," not "by any nation," and an official act of an Arab sovereign state.

Will United States armed forces be sent by President Eisenhower to fight in Palestine, against the returning expelled Palestinian Arabs, in an attempt to recover their homes from the Zionists in Palestine who stole them? Is President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution a Mutual Security Treaty between the United States and the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine for that purpose? Will the United States armed forces fight in Palestine, and the Middle East area, to hinder the return of 1,350,000 expelled Palestinian Arabs to their ancient homeland, and to their own homes, stolen from them by transplanted Zionists? Will the United States call it "overt armed aggression," and "from any nation?" It certainly will not be the official act "by any nation" of Arab Middle East sovereign countries. They will, however, be regarded as guilty of it.

Will it be in the power of President Eisenhower to stigmatize the attempted return of 1,350,000 expelled Palestinian Arabs to their ancient homeland "overt armed aggression." Will he employ United States armed forces to protect the booty of the Zionist 1948 armed insurrection, and Zionist "overt armed aggression" since 1948? If the expelled Palestinian Arabs now are living in camps in Egypt, Syria, Jordan and Lebanon, they must necessarily enter Palestine "from any nation, excepting Palestine. The repatriation of the Palestinian Arabs to their ancient homelands, from the Arab Middle East countries where they are now living in destitution, cannot by the widest stretch of anyone's imagination be stigmatized "overt armed aggression," or the official acts of the sovereign states of Egypt, Syria, Jordan or Lebanon. The international Zionist leadership is spending countless millions of dollars for untruthful publicity to stigmatize incursions into Palestine by expelled Palestinian Arabs "overt armed aggression" by an Arab Middle East sovereign state, for reasons that have been pointed out.

President Eisenhower is authorized by the Middle Eastern Security Resolution, as it now reads, to exercise this dictatorial power forever, unless President Eisenhower in his own opinion "shall determine that the peace and security of the nations in the general area of the Middle East are reasonably assured by international conditions." What will be the criteria for President Eisenhower's conclusion that the "peace and security" are that which he regards as "reasonably assured?" What does "reasonably assured mean?" It may mean one thing today and another thing tomorrow to President Eisenhower. What will "reasonably assured" mean to President Eisenhower's successors? What will "reasonably assured" mean to another Democratic president like Harry S. Truman, Franklin D. Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson and other Zionist stooges of the same calibre?

If the power granted to President Eisenhower under the terms of the Middle Eastern Security Resolution, as it now reads, "shall expire when the President shall determine," it means that United States armed forces may remain in Palestine, and the Middle East area, for a very long time. The "general area of the Middle East," according to official United States declarations, extends from Turkey to Pakistan. It possibly may be centuries before the "peace and security" of the nations in that "general area of the Middle East," in the sole opinion of a President of the United States, "are reasonably assured," as it seems to appear now.

If President Eisenhower, or his successors, can keep United States armed forces in Palestine, and the Middle East area, until the "peace and security," in their sole opinions, "are reasonably assured by international conditions, United States armed forces may remain there forever. What is actually the meaning of "reasonably assured by "international conditions"? President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution, as it now reads, refers to "international conditions." Would "international conditions" in Europe, Asia, North or South America or Australia give President Eisenhower, or any of his successors, the power to keep United States armed forces in Palestine, and the Middle East area that long?

In President Eisenhower's January 5, 1957 address over the radio and on television, President Eisenhower stated, with the "voice" of Jacob, as follows, "If, contrary to my hope and expectation, a situation arose which called for the military application of the policy which I ask the Congress to join me in proclaiming . . ." The Middle Eastern Security Resolution, the "hand" of Esau, is a joint resolution which instead of "proclaiming," becomes the law of the land when approved by both houses of the Congress, and signed by President Eisenhower. It is not a proclamation of United States foreign policy in the Middle East. President Eisenhower spoke, with the "voice of Jacob," about "proclaiming" a United States foreign policy in Palestine, and the Middle East, in which he requested the Congress "to join." But the "hand" of Esau wrote the draft resolution which will become the law of the land, and gives President Eisenhower, or his successors, more power than any dictator ever possessed in the history of the world. Who is it who wants President Eisenhower, or his successors, to have the power to employ United States armed forces in Palestine, or the Middle East area? The answer is the international Zionist leadership.

The Arab Middle East countries have little confidence that President Eisenhower's Middle Eastern Security Resolution means what it says, or says what it means. The Arab Middle East countries have manifold reason to feel the way they do. The United States did not honor its obligations under its Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950. The Arab Middle East countries have learned by sad experience that the United States Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950 did not mean what it said, and did not say what it meant. The Arab Middle East countries can never again be trapped by diplomatic deception of any nature.

The United States Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950 stated that the United States, Great Britain and France would "immediately take action" if the frontiers in the Middle East were violated. Between May 25, 1950 and October 29, 1956 the United States often "rattled the sabre" threatening to "immediately take action" against the Arab Middle East countries if they then attacked the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. At every possible opportunity to do so, the United States "rattled the sabre", the Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950, to discourage "overt armed aggression" by Arab Middle East countries.

On October 29, 1956 about 100,000 Zionist troops engaged in armed aggression against Egypt. Zionist armed forces violated the borders of Egypt and penetrated into Egypt as far as the Suez Canal zone. The United States did not "immediately take action" against the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The United States "for face" with the Arab Middle East countries, and with the Asian and African 90% of the world's total population, when it ignored its obligations under the Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950. The Arab Middle east countries, and the Asian and African 80% of the world's total population, lost confidence in the political integrity of the United States in matters where the legitimate rights of the Arab Middle East countries come into conflict with illegitimate pretensions in Palestine by the international Zionist leadership.

Ignoring their requirement to "immediately take action," Great Britain and France repudiated their obligations under the Tripartite Declaration of May 25, 1950 by attacking Egypt, in collusion with the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The tripartite conspiracy of October 29, 1956 was intended to bring about the military surrender of Egypt, Syria, Jordan and Lebanon to Great Britain and France, associated with the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. Egypt, Syria, Jordan and Lebanon were to be occupied again by the military forces of Great Britain and France, this time joined by the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. That program will still be carried out in that area if the Soviet Union does not continue its benevolent interest in the security of the Arab Middle East countries, against the unprovoked and unjustified armed aggression by Great Britain, France and the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine. The "benevolent interest" of the Soviet Union in the security of the Arab Middle East countries is supplemented by a terrific "power of persuasion" which President Eisenhower cannot afford to ignore, and no one else should either.

The governments of North Korea, East Germany and the mainland of China were "proclaimed" by populations indigenous to those areas for thousands of years. They have been in existence for more than 8 years. The United States has not recognized either one of them. To the United States the governments in North Korea, East Germany and on the mainland of China do not exist any more than the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine exists to Egypt, Syria, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Lebanon or Yemen. "Things equal to the same thing are equal to each other" in international affairs as well as in mathematical problems.

The attitude of Egypt towards the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, regarding the use of the Suez Canal, is identical to the attitude of the United States towards the governments of North Korea, East Germany and the mainland of China regarding the use of the Panama Canal. The Arab Middle East countries have never recognized the existence of the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine, and claim they never will. The Arab Middle East countries assert that the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine is not a "sovereign state," although recognized as such by the United States 6 minutes after it was "proclaimed." The Arab Middle East countries continue to regard the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine as an armed insurrection which has been temporarily stabilized by an international conspiracy, but cannot endure.

As a consequence of the confiscation of their ancient homeland, and their homes and other worldly possessions, the 1,350,000 Palestinian Arabs, harmless and helpless, innocent men, women and children, were compelled to flee for their lives, and became homeless and penniless outcasts. The ancestors of these 1,350,000 Palestinian Arabs fertilized the soil of Palestine with their sweat and blood for more than 1700 years. They were driven out of their ancient homeland by 600,000 transplanted Zionist revolutionists, with the help of $350,000,000.00 in the world's most modern arms and ammunition, supplied to them free of charge, by the International Zionist leadership in the United States, Great Britain and France, and for many years, by the Soviet Union and other Communist countries.

Hypocrites are seeking to arrange a "peace with justice" in Palestine, and the Middle East area, by "resettling" the expelled Palestinian Arabs in many foreign countries. The United States has offered to make available to the Zionist so-called "sovereign state" in Palestine the $5,600,000,000.00 required to compensate the expelled Palestinian Arabs for the reasonable value of their property. The tax-payers in the United States will bear this burden. But the expelled Palestinian Arabs refuse to sell their homes. They wish to return to their ancient homeland, and to again occupy their homes. In their attitude in this respect, the 1,350,000 Palestinian Arabs have the unqualified support of 80,000,000 other Arabs, and the total remainder of the Asian and African 80% of the world's total population, who pledged their full support in the Bandung Conference.

There is only one solution that will bring permanent peace in Palestine, and the Middle East area. That solution demands resettlement at once of the 1,350,000 expelled Palestinian Arabs in their ancient homeland, and the return to them of their homes and other worldly possessions. That is the solution which will bring permanent peace to Palestine, and the Middle East area. That is also the only solution based upon "peace with justice." President Eisenhower repeats again, and again, and again that the solution to the situation in Palestine must be based upon "peace with justice." If he is sincere, he now knows what to do. Beside the dangers inherent in the situation, it will cost the tax-payers of the United States less to now resettle the Palestinian Arabs in their ancient homeland, and resettle the Zionists in Palestine in their former homelands, or in countries where they will be safe and welcome, than to continue as we are now fumbling along. It will prove less expensive for tax-payers in the long run.

It is the duty of the United States to evacuate the Zionist population now in Palestine, and resettle them in their former homelands, or in countries where they will be safe and welcome. Unless the United States embarks upon that program war in the Middle East is inevitable. War in the Middle East will precipitate the impending all-out and full-scale East-West World War. The United States cannot be the victor in that war against the Soviet Union, supported by the Asian and African 80% of the world's total population. Failure of the United States to resettle the 1,350,000 Palestinian Arabs in their ancient homeland, and to resettle the 1,850,000 transplanted Zionists elsewhere than Palestine, will precipitate the impending all-out full-scale East-West World War, it now seems.

(TO BE CONTINUED IN FEBRUARY ISSUE)